



## Assessment of Beekeeping Knowledge among Farmers in Haryana

Subhash Chander<sup>1\*</sup>, Bas Kaur<sup>2</sup>, Joginder Singh Malik<sup>3</sup>, Sahil Boora<sup>4</sup>, Balkar Singh<sup>5</sup> and Asha Rani Sheoran<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Assistant Scientist, <sup>4</sup>Research Scholar, Department of Sociology, <sup>3</sup>Professor, Department of Agricultural Extension Education, <sup>6</sup>Research Scholar, Department of Molecular Biology & Biotechnology, CCS HAU, Hisar, Haryana, India

<sup>5</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Rural Development, IGNOU, New Delhi, India

\*Corresponding author email id: panwarsubhash786@gmail.com

### HIGHLIGHTS

- Beekeeping significantly enhances rural livelihoods by increasing income and supporting sustainable agricultural practices.
- Literacy and education positively influence the adoption of modern beekeeping practices and honey production efficiency.
- Beekeeping supports biodiversity conservation and sustainable rural livelihoods with minimal capital investment.

### ARTICLE INFO

**Keywords:** Beekeeping, Knowledge, Honey production, Pollination, Rural livelihood.

<https://doi.org/10.48165/IJEE.2025.61113>

**Conflict of Interest:** None

**Research ethics statement(s):**

Informed consent of the participants

### ABSTRACT

The Indian economy, heavily reliant on agriculture, faces challenges due to increasing population pressure and declining landholding sizes, pushing farmers to diversify their income sources. Beekeeping, requiring minimal capital investment and leveraging natural resources like nectar and pollen, emerges as a viable alternative. This study, conducted in Kaithal, Kurukshetra, and Karnal districts of Haryana, aimed to assess farmers' knowledge of beekeeping practices. A total of 150 respondents were surveyed using structured interviews and secondary data sources. The results revealed that most beekeepers had a moderate to high knowledge of various aspects of beekeeping, including honey production, bee flora, and biological aspects. Socio-economic factors such as age, education, social participation, and media exposure significantly influenced knowledge levels. Beekeeping not only enhances crop productivity through pollination but also offers employment opportunities, making it an essential strategy for rural development. The study emphasizes the potential of beekeeping in uplifting smallholder farmers and mitigating the limitations of traditional agriculture.

### INTRODUCTION

The Indian economy is deeply rooted in agriculture; with over 60 per cent of the population relying on it as their primary source of livelihood. But as a result of growing population pressure and industrialisation, the amount of land held per person is steadily declining. Consequently, it has become increasingly challenging for landless, marginal, and small farmers, as well as unemployed individuals, to fulfil their family's domestic needs. Under such circumstances, farmers have to adopt for some other to uplift their income. Among the enterprises in agricultural sector, bee farming is one of that smart agricultural enterprise that requires minimal capital investment as compared to other related activities (Mishra et al.,

2024). Additionally, it does not require any additional raw material as same is provided by the nature in the form of nectar and pollen. Anybody with technical skills and enthusiasm can engage in this type of entrepreneurship, regardless of their age, gender, level of education, or ownership of land (Kumar et al., 2022). In addition to producing honey and other valuable bee products (such as wax, royal jelly, propolis, pollen, venom, etc.), honey bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae) play a more significant ecological role as the most reliable and effective pollinators of flowering plants and trees, which increases crop productivity (both quantitatively and qualitatively) and maintains plant diversity. In agricultural crops, about ninety per cent of pollination is being carried out by the bees only, and

Received 12-12-2024; Accepted 26-12-2024

The copyright: The Indian Society of Extension Education (<https://www.iseeiari.org/>) vide registration number L-129744/2023

result in about thirty-three per cent increase in total production of agricultural and fruits crops and India occupies sixth position among the top ten honey producing countries in the world (Kumar & Patel, 2019). Beekeeping is an excellent source of employment for the rural unemployed youth and currently approximately 2,50,000 farmers in India are employed through beekeeping (Kumar et al., 2022). Moreover, honey bees significantly enhance the yield of agricultural and horticultural crops through effective pollination, while also improving the quality of the produce.

Beekeeping plays a crucial role in diversifying and increasing the income of Indian smallholder farmers and landless youth. Given the challenges posed by depleting natural resources and the reduced profitability of traditional agriculture, nutrition sensitive agriculture like beekeeping holds great potential to uplift the economy of Indian farmers (Mishra et al., 2024). The introduction of the honey bee species *Apis mellifera* to India has revolutionized the beekeeping industry, sparking a remarkable “honey revolution” across the country. In Haryana, successful beekeeping with this exotic species began in 1987, and today, it has become a thriving enterprise, providing livelihoods to many.

## METHODOLOGY

The current research was carried out in the meticulously selected Kaithal, Kurukshetra and Karnal districts of Haryana in 2023 utilizing an ex-post facto research design. The districts were chosen on purpose because of its abundant and varied bee keeping, which are perfect for scientific bee rearing. From Kaithal district, fifty respondents were selected at random from the villages namely Machheredi, Kharkara, Riwad, Kakeri, Salempur, Bata and Deohra whereas from Kurukshetra district fifty respondents were selected randomly from the villages namely Charuni Jattan, Ghararsi, Barna, Jhandheri, Thanesar and Shahbad and from Karnal district fifty respondents were selected randomly from the villages namely Budheri, Santri, Khera, Seir, Gheer and Choura. Thus, 150 farmers constituted the respondents to the study by simple random sampling technique.

A planned interview schedule designed especially for the study and direct observation techniques helped get primary data. The government, sectors, and gramme panchayats’ official records provided secondary data. The dependent variable, knowledge of the respondents regarding beekeeping, was employed using the approach outlined by (Boora et al., 2023). Scores of 2, 1, and 0 were assigned to full, partial, and no knowledge, respectively. Knowledge quotients were calculated from raw scores to gauge the overall knowledge level. Thus, after computing the individual knowledge quotient scores, the respondents were categorized into three groups. The relationship between two categorical variables of such independent variables and knowledge level was checked by implementing a non-parametric test of chi-square value and coefficient of contingency estimation to draw a conclusion.

## RESULTS

### Beekeepers’ knowledge about different aspects of beekeeping

The results depicted in Table 1 depicts knowledge of beekeepers of different districts in general aspects of beekeeping.

The results revealed that majority of the beekeepers possessed highly knowledge about water needs of bee and identification of bees in the general aspects of beekeeping. In management aspects, the majority of farmers have high knowledge about best season for bee keeping and protection of boxes from robbing whereas in honey production aspects, majority had high knowledge about exclusion of queen bee and about extraction of honey. In the case of bee flora aspects of beekeeping, majority of farmers had high knowledge about maize as a good source of flora and about honey bees collect enough nectar during the month of January. Regarding bee breeding aspects, majority had knowledge about maximum number of eggs were laid by queen bees and young queen lay a greater number of eggs than old queen. In case of biological aspects, majority of farmers had high knowledge about life cycle of honey bee and about body parts of honey bees.

### Level of knowledge of beekeeping among farmers of Haryana

The data in Table 2 clearly revealed that nearly maximum number of the respondents (46%) demonstrated a moderate level of knowledge about beekeeping, while approximately two-fifths (38%) exhibited a high level of expertise, and 16% had limited knowledge in the field.

### Socio-economic variables and level of knowledge of bee keeping in different districts

The data in Table 3 depicts that association between level of knowledge of beekeeping among farmers of Haryana and independent variables namely age, social participation, mass media exposure and socio-economic status were found highly significantly associated at chi-square value of 19.949, 20.318, 13.860 and 14.376 respectively. Analysis clearly revealed that level of education was found significantly associated with level of knowledge at chi-square value of 13.594 whereas, the independent variables namely caste, subsidiary occupation, size of landholding, type of family, size of family and annual income were not found significantly associate with level of knowledge.

## DISCUSSION

The study reveals some remarkable trends in the knowledge of beekeeping among farmers in the Kaithal, Kurukshetra, and Karnal districts of Haryana. A reasonable proportion of the respondents, ranging from moderate to high, represent a growing awareness of potential supplementary livelihood sources in such practices. The findings are in line with (Kumar et al., 2020) However, variations in knowledge levels among farmers suggest the influence of factors such as education, social exposure, and prior experience in agricultural enterprises. One key relationship highlighted in the study is the positive correlation between education and beekeeping knowledge. Farmers with higher education levels tend to adopt modern techniques and manage their enterprises more effectively. This is in line with the findings of Yusuf et al., (2017) & Kumar et al., (2019), which indicated that higher education levels have a positive effect on the adoption of modern beekeeping practices. Also, age and experience in beekeeping are directly proportional to the level of knowledge acquired, and thus hands-on involvement would increase learning as is supported by Patel et

**Table 1.** District wise percentage of beekeepers' knowledge about different aspects of beekeeping (n=150)

| Different aspects of knowledge                               | Full knowledge | Partial knowledge | No knowledge | Mean Score |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|
| <b>General aspects</b>                                       |                |                   |              |            |
| Identification of queen, worker, drone and Italian honeybees | 92(61.33)      | 27(18.00)         | 31(20.67)    | 2.40*      |
| Drone bees are fatter than worker bees                       | 33(22.00)      | 97(64.67)         | 20(13.33)    | 2.08       |
| Worker bee is sharp                                          | 110(73.33)     | 15(10.00)         | 25(16.67)    | 2.56*      |
| Drone bees do not harvesting                                 | 102(68.00)     | 28(18.67)         | 20(13.33)    | 2.48*      |
| Water is important for bees                                  | 120(80.00)     | 15(10.00)         | 15(10.00)    | 2.70*      |
| Guarding of boxes by workers bees                            | 96(64.00)      | 25(16.67)         | 29(19.33)    | 2.46*      |
| Identification of Indian honey bees                          | 35(23.33)      | 90(60.00)         | 15(16.67)    | 2.00       |
| Collection of pollen by worker bees                          | 85(50.00)      | 35(23.33)         | 30(20.00)    | 2.36*      |
| Number of queen bees in a colony                             | 109(72.67)     | 21(14.00)         | 20(13.33)    | 2.59*      |
| Swarming of bees during April                                | 83(55.33)      | 36(24.00)         | 31(20.67)    | 2.34*      |
| Sugar present in honey                                       | 26(17.33)      | 104(69.33)        | 14(9.34)     | 2.01       |
| Season for increasing of boxes                               | 102(68.00)     | 24(16.00)         | 24(16.00)    | 2.48*      |
| Age of worker bees                                           | 25(16.67)      | 96(64.00)         | 29(19.33)    | 1.97       |
| Monsoon season for bees                                      | 90(60.00)      | 30(20.00)         | 30(20.00)    | 1.80       |
| Preference of domestic bees for comb making                  | 85(56.67)      | 35(23.33)         | 30(20.00)    | 2.36*      |
| Knowledge of nectar collected by bees                        | 109(72.66)     | 21(14.00)         | 20(13.34)    | 2.59*      |
| Types of honeybees                                           | 83(55.33)      | 36(24.00)         | 31(20.67)    | 2.34*      |
| Overall Mean score 2.32                                      |                |                   |              |            |
| <b>Management aspects</b>                                    |                |                   |              |            |
| Sugar syrup feeding to boxes                                 | 31(20.67)      | 92(61.33)         | 27(18.00)    | 2.02       |
| Packing of boxes during winter                               | 33(22.00)      | 97(64.66)         | 20(13.34)    | 2.08*      |
| Robbing problem                                              | 15(10.00)      | 110(73.33)        | 25(16.67)    | 1.83       |
| Checking of boxes during summer                              | 28(18.67)      | 102(68.00)        | 20(13.33)    | 1.98       |
| Optimum time to start beekeeping                             | 15(10.00)      | 120(80.00)        | 15(10.00)    | 2.00       |
| Checking of boxes during winter                              | 25(16.67)      | 96(64.00)         | 29(19.33)    | 1.96       |
| Placement of boxes during winter                             | 29(19.33)      | 91(60.67)         | 30(20.00)    | 1.92       |
| Protection of boxes from robbing                             | 85(56.67)      | 36(24.00)         | 29(19.33)    | 2.37*      |
| Benefits of winter packing                                   | 21(14.00)      | 109(72.67)        | 20(13.33)    | 2.01       |
| Hive tool                                                    | 36(24.00)      | 83(55.33)         | 31(20.67)    | 2.03       |
| Best season for bees                                         | 104(69.33)     | 26(17.33)         | 14(9.34)     | 2.52*      |
| Giving feed to boxes during offseason                        | 20(13.33)      | 107(71.33)        | 23(15.34)    | 1.98       |
| Optimum number of boxes to start beekeeping                  | 24(16.00)      | 98(65.33)         | 28(18.67)    | 1.97       |
| Time to giving feed to colonies                              | 25(16.67)      | 96(64.00)         | 29(19.33)    | 1.90       |
| Robbing during rainy season                                  | 27(18.00)      | 92(61.33)         | 31(20.67)    | 1.97       |
| Method used to decrease the population of drones             | 33(22.00)      | 97(64.67)         | 20(13.33)    | 2.08*      |
| Row to row distance                                          | 25(16.67)      | 110(73.33)        | 15(10.00)    | 2.06*      |
| Box to box distance                                          | 28(18.67)      | 102(68.00)        | 20(13.33)    | 2.05*      |
| Overall Mean score 2.04                                      |                |                   |              |            |
| <b>Honey production aspects</b>                              |                |                   |              |            |
| Extraction of honey                                          | 93(62.00)      | 27(18.00)         | 30(20.00)    | 2.35*      |
| Queen excluder                                               | 87(58.00)      | 34(22.67)         | 29(19.33)    | 2.38*      |
| Maturity of honey                                            | 31(20.67)      | 99(66.00)         | 20(13.33)    | 2.07       |
| Production of honey                                          | 43(28.67)      | 75(50.00)         | 32(21.33)    | 2.05       |
| Benefits of queen excluder                                   | 100(66.67)     | 30(20.00)         | 20(13.33)    | 2.53*      |
| Freezing of honey                                            | 27(18.00)      | 100(66.67)        | 23(15.33)    | 2.04       |
| Wax production                                               | 31(20.67)      | 99(66.00)         | 20(13.33)    | 1.87       |
| Purity of honey                                              | 20(13.33)      | 105(70.00)        | 25(16.67)    | 1.83       |
| Consumption of honey by bees for production of wax           | 38(25.33)      | 92(61.33)         | 20(13.34)    | 2.05       |
| Overall Mean score 2.13                                      |                |                   |              |            |
| <b>Bee flora aspects</b>                                     |                |                   |              |            |
| Migration of boxes                                           | 89(59.33)      | 32(21.33)         | 29(19.34)    | 2.40*      |
| Whether bees destroy the flowers                             | 15(10.00)      | 35(23.33)         | 100(66.67)   | 1.36       |
| Availability of flora for bee during March-April             | 83(55.34)      | 33(22.00)         | 34(22.66)    | 2.32*      |
| Importance of pollen or bees                                 | 21(14.00)      | 110(73.33)        | 19(12.67)    | 2.01       |
| Things collected by bee from flowers                         | 36(24.00)      | 85(56.66)         | 29(19.34)    | 2.04       |
| Maize as a good source of flora                              | 105(70.00)     | 25(16.67)         | 20(13.33)    | 2.56*      |
| Honey bees collect enough nector during January              | 102(68.00)     | 30(20.00)         | 18(12.00)    | 2.55*      |
| Which crop produce more honey                                | 22(14.66)      | 96(64.00)         | 32(21.34)    | 1.93       |
| Pollen collected by worker bees                              | 30(20.00)      | 95(63.33)         | 25(16.67)    | 2.03       |
| Overall Mean score 2.13                                      |                |                   |              |            |

Table 1 contd....

| Different aspects of knowledge                          | Full knowledge | Partial knowledge | No knowledge | Mean Score |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|
| Bee enemies' aspect                                     |                |                   |              |            |
| Protection of bees from ants                            | 33(22.00)      | 97(64.67)         | 20(13.33)    | 2.08*      |
| Damage of wax moth                                      | 40(26.66)      | 78(52.00)         | 32(21.34)    | 2.05       |
| Damage of varroa mite                                   | 50(33.33)      | 85(56.67)         | 15(10.00)    | 2.23*      |
| Damage of green sparrow                                 | 62(41.33)      | 48(32.00)         | 40(26.67)    | 2.14*      |
| Season of incidence of wax moth                         | 31(20.67)      | 93(62.00)         | 26(17.33)    | 2.03       |
| Control measures for varroa mite                        | 27(18.00)      | 98(65.33)         | 25(16.67)    | 2.01       |
| Incidence of wax moth                                   | 38(25.33)      | 89(59.33)         | 23(15.34)    | 2.04       |
| Thaisac brood disease of bees                           | 55(36.67)      | 36(24.00)         | 59(39.33)    | 1.99       |
| Overall mean score 2.07                                 |                |                   |              |            |
| Bee breeding aspects                                    |                |                   |              |            |
| Young queen lay a greater number of eggs than old queen | 99(66.00)      | 20(13.33)         | 31(20.67)    | 2.45*      |
| Purchasing of bee sand young mated queen                | 101(67.33)     | 34(22.67)         | 15(10.00)    | 2.57*      |
| Swarming by young queen                                 | 47(31.33)      | 85(56.67)         | 18(12.00)    | 2.19       |
| Laying worker bees                                      | 38(25.33)      | 94(62.67)         | 18(12.00)    | 2.13       |
| Laying of eggs by queen bee                             | 34(22.67)      | 78(52.00)         | 38(25.33)    | 1.97       |
| Maximum number of eggs laid by queen bees               | 119(79.33)     | 10(06.67)         | 21(14.00)    | 2.65*      |
| Age of queen bee                                        | 102(68.00)     | 23(15.33)         | 25(16.67)    | 2.44*      |
| Mating of queen bee by drones                           | 22(14.67)      | 89(59.33)         | 39(26.00)    | 1.88       |
| Number of eggs queen lays per cell                      | 36(24.00)      | 89(59.33)         | 25(16.67)    | 2.07       |
| Method of queen rearing                                 | 45(30.00)      | 67(44.67)         | 38(25.33)    | 2.04       |
| Overall Mean score 2.23                                 |                |                   |              |            |
| Bee biology aspects                                     |                |                   |              |            |
| Rearing of queen from eggs                              | 47(31.33)      | 87(58.00)         | 16(10.67)    | 2.20       |
| Rearing of worker bee from eggs                         | 45(30.00)      | 85(56.67)         | 20(13.33)    | 2.16       |
| Rearing of drone from eggs                              | 45(30.00)      | 80(53.33)         | 25(16.67)    | 2.13       |
| Life cycle of honey bee                                 | 95(63.33)      | 35(23.33)         | 20(13.34)    | 2.43*      |
| Body parts of honey bees                                | 76(50.66)      | 56(37.33)         | 18(12.00)    | 2.38*      |
| Overall mean score 2.26                                 |                |                   |              |            |

Figures in parentheses denote percentage; Responses were multiple

**Table 2.** Level of knowledge of beekeeping among farmers of Haryana (n=150)

| Level of knowledge | Frequency  |
|--------------------|------------|
| Low (76-126)       | 24 (16.00) |
| Moderate (127-177) | 69 (46.00) |
| High (178-228)     | 57 (38.00) |

Figures in parentheses denote percentage

**Table 3.** Association between socio-economic variables and knowledge of bee keepers in different districts of Haryana

| Socio-economic variables | Chi-Square value ( $\chi^2$ ) | Coefficient of contingency (C) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Age                      | 19.949**                      | 0.343                          |
| Caste                    | 3.959                         | 0.160                          |
| Level of education       | 13.594*                       | 0.288                          |
| Subsidiary occupation    | 9.879                         | 0.249                          |
| Size of land holdings    | 10.323                        | 0.254                          |
| Type of family           | 1.632                         | 0.104                          |
| Size of family           | 9.663                         | 0.246                          |
| Annual family income     | 3.825                         | 0.158                          |
| Social participation     | 20.318**                      | 0.345                          |
| Mass media exposure      | 13.860**                      | 0.291                          |
| Socio-economic status    | 14.376**                      | 0.296                          |

al., (2015), who noted that experience in agricultural enterprises develops practical skills. Social involvement, which involves membership in farmers' groups and mass media, strengthens knowledge. In this regard, it seems that community-based activities and the dissemination of information play an important role in findings of (Raina et al., 2017; Kumari & Singh, 2022) concerning the role of community-based initiatives and mass media in the dissemination of information. Findings are in line with other previous research indicating that beekeeping contributes to the rural livelihoods and biodiversity conservation substantially (Chauhan et al., 2020; Kumar et al., 2022). A generalization that can be derived from the data is that beekeeping is perceived as a low-investment, high-benefit enterprise. Farmers widely acknowledge its role in enhancing crop productivity through pollination, which aligns with studies by Gill et al., (2015) & Meena et al., (2017), which emphasize the ecological importance of bees. Exceptions to this generalization include farmers in remote areas who lack adequate resources, as noted by Ramesh et al., (2020). Although the study's findings broadly align with existing literature, there is disagreement on the degree of institutional support needed. Contrary to studies that propose reliance on heavy government subsidies (Khan et al., 2018), this study proposes community-led approaches and training programs as more viable alternatives.

## CONCLUSION

Beekeeping holds great promise to improve the livelihoods of Indian farmers, especially in Haryana, where knowledge levels of beekeepers are moderate to high. Ecological and economic benefits associated with this enterprise include crop productivity enhancement, income diversification, and employment opportunities. The age factor, education level, and social participation are all important determinants of knowledge acquisition, suggesting that targeted training programs and awareness campaigns should be part of the efforts. However, with all these benefits, problems such as limited resources and technical know-how remain. Thus, government support for beekeeping, technical training, and community-based initiatives can help overcome the mentioned challenges. Beekeeping represents a sustainable, low-investment enterprise that can play a significant role in rural economic development and environmental sustainability.

## REFERENCES

- Boora, S., Kaur, B., Tyagi, R., Bishnoi, D. K., Manisha & Rohit. (2022). Extent of adoption of intercropping practices among farmers of Haryana. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, 59(1), 24-27.
- Chauhan, A., Kumar, R., & Singh, R. (2020). Beekeeping for sustainable livelihoods and biodiversity conservation: A review. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 12(3), 45-54.
- Gill, P. S., Kaur, M., & Singh, B. (2015). Impact of beekeeping on crop productivity: A case study in northern India. *International Journal of Agricultural Research*, 10(2), 99-108.
- Khan, Z., Ahmad, S., & Qureshi, M. (2018). Institutional support for apiculture: Need for policy revision in developing nations. *Asian Journal of Agriculture and Development*, 15(1), 12-25.
- Kumar, A., & Patel, R. K. (2019). Influence of education on the adoption of modern beekeeping techniques in rural India. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, 55(4), 56-62.
- Kumar, A., Kumar, A., & Kumari, P. (2022). Income diversification: a way towards attracting rural youth in agriculture. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, 58(4), 107-112.
- Kumar, Y., Rather, B. A., Peshin, R., Nain, M. S., Fatima, K., Singh, L., & Kanwar, M. S. (2020). Extent of knowledge of beekeepers in relation to improved apiculture practices in Jammu Province. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, 56(3), 69-75.
- Kumari, A. R., & Singh, M. (2022). Adoption of Beekeeping among rural women in Samastipur district of Bihar. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, 48(1&2), 98-99.
- Meena, B. L., Sharma, V., & Gupta, M. (2017). Beekeeping as a tool for rural development: Evidence from India. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 20(1), 32-39.
- Mishra, A., Malik, J. S., Chikkalaki, A. S., Ram Niwas, & Bhavesh. (2024). Knowledge level of climate smart agricultural practices (CSAP) among paddy farmers in eastern Haryana. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, 60(3), 77-82.
- Mishra, N. M., Satarupa Modak, S. M., Chitrasena Padhy, C. P., & Soumik Ray, S. R. (2024). Knowledge and awareness about nutrition sensitive agriculture in southern Odisha. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, 60(4), 53-58.
- Patel, A. B., Sharma, R. S., & Jadhav, N. (2015). Beekeeping experience and skill development: A rural perspective. *International Journal of Agricultural Extension*, 8(1), 112-120.
- Raina, V., Nain, M. S., & Khajuria, S. (2017). Relationship between socio-personal variables and training needs of beekeepers in the Haryana State. *Journal of Community Mobilization and Sustainable Development*, 12(1), 61-64.
- Ramesh, K., Kumar, S., & Rana, S. (2020). Constraints faced by rural beekeepers: A study in Indian villages. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology*, 28(1), 42-49.
- Sharma, A., & Singh, D. (2019). Market linkages and institutional support in promoting beekeeping: A regional analysis. *Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development*, 16(2), 34-46.
- Yusuf, S. M., & Ali, K. (2017). The impact of literacy on the adoption of sustainable beekeeping practices. *Journal of Environmental Studies*, 19(3), 73-81.